

May 1, 1991

LB 854-857

SENATOR HABERMAN: Mr. President, members of the body, I have a question of Senator Moore, please.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Moore, would you respond, please.

SENATOR MOORE: Yes, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Senator Moore, your biggest concern seems to be lack of time, is that correct? I've heard you mention that three, or four, five, six, seven times.

SENATOR MOORE: That would be one of my concerns, Senator Haberman.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you. Mr. Speaker, Mr. President, is 854 and 855 as one motion, and 856 and 857 as one motion divisible?

SPEAKER BAACK: I would certainly say that it probably is, if you want to divide them.

SENATOR HABERMAN: I'd like to divide them.

SENATOR MOORE: A rule suspension is not.

SPEAKER BAACK: Yes, but this is...this is a rule suspension motion, and this motion is not divisible. I'm sorry, Senator Haberman, this is not divisible, because it is a rule suspension motion, and that, by our rules, is not divisible.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Well the rule suspension motion then is 854 and 855 would go with the package and 856 and 857 would go with the package, is that correct?

SPEAKER BAACK: That is correct. That is correct.

SENATOR HABERMAN: Thank you.

SPEAKER BAACK: Senator Coordsen.

SENATOR COORDSEN: Thank you, Mr. Speaker, members of the body, I would like also to echo the concern that has been expressed by several members of the body, notably Senator Withem and others in this process. I think that it is not a good way to go about making substantive changes in law. I would direct your